

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,151	11/21/2001	Toshiki Kindo	P21724	9437
	590 05/09/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			THAI, HANH B	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	09/989,151	KINDO ET AL.					
morriew cannuary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hanh B. Thai	2161					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Hanh B. Thai.	(3) <u>Ken Moore</u>						
(2) <u>Uyen Le</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>05 May 2005</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Teleph <i>o</i> nic b)□ Vide <i>o</i> Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>20</u> .	•						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Klein et al. (5,872,850)</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. of	a)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.					
	×						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was							
reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant briefly discussed the summary of the invention and the drawing Fig. 1.</u> Figs. 5-6. Applicant then stated that "Klein does not disclose the evaluation value of keyword." Examiner pointed out							
that at col. 3, line 43 to col. 6, line 25 of Klein showing the processing of what items the user interested in and the							
rating to those items reads on the claimed feature. In short, applicant will review all claims and amend them to clarify							
the claim invention.							

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

